arXiv:1611.05726v1 [physics.gen-ph] 5 Nov 2016

Conformally-modified gravity and vacuum energy

Christian Henke

University of Technology at Clausthal, Department of Mathematics,
Erzstrasse 1, D-38678 Clausthal-Zellerfeld, Germany

Abstract

The paper deals with a modified theory of gravity and the cosmological consequences. Instead of concerning the field equations directly, we modify a conformally-related and equivalent equation, such that a spontaneous symmetry breaking at Planck scale occurs in the trace equation. As the consequence the cosmological constant problem is solved.

1. Introduction

Since the 1990s, the cosmological constant Λ in Einstein's field equation

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}R + \Lambda g_{\mu\nu} = \kappa T_{\mu\nu}, \quad \kappa = \frac{8\pi G}{c^4},$$

has been used as a simple explanation for an expansion of the universe (see [Car92], [Car01], [SS00] and [We89] for further details and [Wal84] for notational conventions). Due to the unknown form of the underlying energy, this anti-gravitational mechanism is called dark energy. From the source point of view the cosmological constant can be written as an energy-momentum tensor

$$T_{\mu\nu} = (\rho_\Lambda + \frac{p_\Lambda}{c^2})u_\mu u_\nu + g_{\mu\nu}p_\Lambda, \tag{1}$$

where $p_\Lambda = -c^4\Lambda/8\pi G$ and $\rho_\Lambda = -p_\Lambda/c^2$. Hence, in the absence of matter the cosmological constant could be interpreted as the energy density of the vacuum. In contrast to the notion of an empty space, the quantum field theory defines the vacuum as the state of lowest energy density. A comparison of both concepts by cosmological observations of Λ and theoretical calculations of the quantum energy density uncovers a large discrepancy

$$\frac{\rho_\Lambda}{\rho_{\text{vac}}} \approx 10^{-122},$$

which is "probably the worst theoretical prediction in the history of physics" [HE06].

The purpose of this paper is to modify Einstein's field equation with the help of ideas from particle physics and demonstrate that the large discrepancy of the energy density disappears.

Email address: henke@math.tu-clausthal.de (Christian Henke)

The concept of minimising the energy in General Relativity has been used for canonical energy-momentum tensors which are generated from additional scalar fields (see [Wal84, p. 457] and [Car01]). Unfortunately, this approach results in a devastating fine-tuning problem.

In contrast to other scalar field theories with an additional scalar field, we use a conformally-related metric of an equivalent formulation of Einstein's field equation. It turns out that the trace is a mass-less Klein-Gordon equation, where the cosmological constant is the coefficient of a quartic interaction term. In order to prepare the ground for the conformal modification of the equation under consideration, the paper starts in section 2 with the presentation of the classical problem in the new framework. In section 3 we introduce the conformally-modified field equation and verify that our modification falls within Einstein's field theory.

Finally, we conclude with an analogy to particle physics: Balancing the mass coefficient and the cosmological constant such that the symmetry is spontaneously broken at Planck scale gives automatically an energy density of the same magnitude as the quantum energy density.

2. Generalised field equation

Let M be a 4-dimensional metric equipped with a metric $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}$ of signature $(-,+,+,+)$. If u is a strictly positive $C^\infty(M)-$function, then the metric $g_{\mu\nu} = u^{-2}\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}$ is said to be conformally-related to $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}$. In this section we start from the field equation for the Robertson-Walker metric $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}$ and consider the transformed equations for the conformally-related metric $g_{\mu\nu}$. Instead of using the metric

$$\bar{g} = -dt^2 + a(t)^2 \left(\frac{1}{1-kr^2} dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2 \right),$$

where $d\Omega^2 = d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2$ and k is a curvature parameter, we carry out the transformation $r \to r\left(1 + \frac{1}{4}kr^2\right)^{-1}$ and consider the equivalent metric

$$\bar{g} = -dt^2 + a(t)^2 b(r)^2 \left(dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2 \right), \quad b(r) = \left(1 + \frac{1}{4}kr^2 \right)^{-1}. \tag{2}$$

Here, k has units of length^{-2} and $a(t)$ and $b(r)$ are unitless. Introducing the notation ∇_μ for the covariant derivative, $\Delta = g^{\mu\nu}\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu$ and $|\nabla u|^2 = g^{\mu\nu}\nabla_\mu u \nabla_\nu u$, we note down the relation for the Ricci tensor (see [Wal84, p. 446] and [FS83, p. 223])

$$u^2 \bar{R}_{\mu\nu} = u^2 R_{\mu\nu} - 2u\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu u + 4\nabla_\mu u \nabla_\nu u - \left(u\Delta u + |\nabla u|^2 \right) g_{\mu\nu}.$$

Concerning Einstein's field equation in the form

$$\bar{R}_{\mu\nu} - \Lambda\bar{g}_{\mu\nu} = \kappa \left(\bar{T}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{\bar{T}}{2}\bar{g}_{\mu\nu} \right), \tag{3}$$

we find for the right-hand side the identity

$$\bar{T}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{\bar{T}}{2}\bar{g}_{\mu\nu} = \left(\rho c^2 + p \right) \delta^0_{\ \mu}\delta^0_{\ \nu} + \frac{\rho c^2 - p}{2} u^2 g_{\mu\nu}.$$

Up to now the above considerations are valid for every $u \in C^\infty(M)$. Therefore (3) is equivalent to the specialised formulation:

Conformally-related field equation 1. *Find a metric*

$$g = -u^{-2}dt^2 + u^{-2}a(t)^2 b(r)^2 \left(dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2\right),$$

such that

$$L_{\mu\nu} = F_{\mu\nu}, \tag{4}$$

is satisfied for $u = a(t)b(r)r > 0$, *where*

$$L_{\mu\nu} = u^2 R_{\mu\nu} - 2u\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu u + 4\nabla_\mu u\nabla_\nu u - \left(u\Delta u + |\nabla u|^2 + \Lambda u^4\right) g_{\mu\nu}, \tag{5}$$

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \kappa u^2 \left(\left(\rho c^2 + p\right)\delta^0_{\ \mu}\delta^0_{\ \nu} + \frac{\rho c^2 - p}{2} u^2 g_{\mu\nu}\right). \tag{6}$$

Next we demonstrate how to derive Friedmann's equation from (4). In order to do that, we introduce the notion of decomposable coordinates (c.f. [FS83, p. 223]).

Definition 1. *A space-time* (M, g) *is called* $(2,2)-$*decomposable, if and only if around any point of* M *local coordinates* $x^\mu = x^a, x^i$ *can be found, such that*

$$g = g_{ab}(x^0, x^1)\, dx^a dx^b + g_{ij}(x^2, x^3)\, dx^i dx^j,$$

where $a, b, c, \ldots$ *and* $i, j, k, \ldots$ *denote restricted indices* $0, 1$ *and* $2, 3$, *respectively. Here, we define* ${}'R = g^{ad}g^{bc}R_{abcd}$, ${}''R = g^{il}g^{jk}R_{ijkl}$, ${}'\Delta = g^{ab}\nabla_a\nabla_b$ *and* $|{}'\nabla u|^2 = g^{ab}\nabla_a u\nabla_b u$.

Moreover, the following identities are valid

$$R = {}'R(x^0, x^1) + {}''R(x^2, x^3), \quad 2R_{ab} = {}'R g_{ab}, \quad 2R_{ij} = {}''R g_{ij}. \tag{7}$$

Hence, the metric from the above conformally-related field equation is $(2,2)$-decomposable.

Specialising $(\mu, \nu) = (a, b)$ and $(\mu, \nu) = (i, j)$, it holds that

$$L_{ab} = -2u\nabla_a\nabla_b u + 4\nabla_a u\nabla_b u - \left(u'\Delta u + |'\nabla u|^2 - \frac{'R}{2}u^2 + \Lambda u^4\right) g_{ab},$$

$$F_{ab} = \kappa u^2 \left(\left(\rho c^2 + p\right)\delta^0_{\ a}\delta^0_{\ b} + \frac{\rho c^2 - p}{2} u^2 g_{ab}\right),$$

$$L_{ij} = -\left(u'\Delta u + |'\nabla u|^2 - \frac{''R}{2}u^2 + \Lambda u^4\right) g_{ij},$$

$$F_{ij} = \kappa\frac{\rho c^2 - p}{2} u^4 g_{ij}.$$

Lemma 1. *Let* u *satisfy the conformally-related field equation* 1 *and let* $b(r) = (1 + kr^2/4)^{-1}$. *Then* (4) *is equivalent to*

$$L^a_{\ a} - F^a_{\ a} = 0, \tag{8}$$

$$L^i_{\ i} - F^i_{\ i} = 0, \tag{9}$$

$$\nabla^b u \left(L_{ab} - F_{ab}\right) = 0, \quad a = t, r. \tag{10}$$

Proof. Obviously, every solution u of (4) solves (8)-(10). Conversely, let (8)-(10) be satisfied. Using the setting $S_{ab} = L_{ab} - F_{ab}$ and the symmetry in the lower two indices of the Christoffel symbols, we obtain $S_{ab} = S_{ba}$. Thus, because of (10) we conclude

$$-\left(\nabla^t u\right)^2 S_{tt} + \left(\nabla^r u\right)^2 S_{rr} = 0.$$

Moreover, thanks to the diagonal metric, we write (8) as

$$g^{tt} S_{tt} + g^{rr} S_{rr} = 0.$$

Now it follows that $S_{tt} = S_{rr} = 0$ or $-(\nabla^t u)^2 g^{rr} - (\nabla^r u)^2 g^{tt} = 0$. The last equation is equivalent with $(r\dot{b} + b)^2 r^{-2} = \dot{a}^2 a^{-4}$ which is false because of the different arguments and $(r\dot{b} + b)^2 r^{-2} \neq \text{const}$. Hence, it holds that $S_{tt} = S_{rr} = 0$. It remains to show $S_{tr} = S_{rt} = 0$ which now follows directly from (10). Finally, multiplying (9) by $g_{ij}/2$, we get $L_{ij} = F_{ij}$. □

Next, for the 2 dimensional traces we have

$$L^a_{\ a} - F^a_{\ a} = -4u'\Delta u + 2|'\nabla u|^2 + {}'Ru^2 - 2\Lambda u^4 + 2\kappa u^4 p = 0, \tag{11}$$

$$L^i_{\ i} - F^i_{\ i} = -2u'\Delta u - 2|'\nabla u|^2 + {}''Ru^2 - 2\Lambda u^4 - \kappa\left(\rho c^2 - p\right) u^4 = 0, \tag{12}$$

where ${}'R = -2$ and ${}''R = 2$. From the definitions we get

$$\begin{aligned} {}'\Delta u &= -r^2 a\left(r b^3 \dot{a}^2 + r a b^3 \ddot{a} + \frac{r\dot{b}^2}{b} - r\ddot{b} - \dot{b}\right), \\ |'\nabla u|^2 &= -a^2 r^2\left(r^2 \dot{a}^2 b^4 - r^2 \dot{b}^2 - 2rb\dot{b} - b^2\right). \end{aligned}$$

Now using the expressions $-(11)/6r^4a^2b^4 + (12)/3r^4a^2b^4$ and $(11)/r^4a^2b^4 - (12)/2r^4a^2b^4$, we get Friedmann's equations

$$\dot{a}^2 - \frac{1}{3}\Lambda a^2 - \frac{\kappa}{3} c^2 \rho a^2 = \frac{\dot{b}^2}{3b^4} + \frac{\ddot{b}}{3b^3} + \frac{5\dot{b}}{3rb^3} = -k, \tag{13}$$

$$3a\ddot{a} - \Lambda a^2 + \frac{\kappa}{2}\left(\rho c^2 + 3p\right) a^2 = -\frac{2\dot{b}^2}{b^4} + \frac{\ddot{b}}{b^3} - \frac{\dot{b}}{rb^3} = 0. \tag{14}$$

Conversely, let (13) and (14) be satisfied. Obviously, doing the above calculations backwards, (11) and (12) are fulfiled. Denoting the left-hand side of (14) by L_t and

$$L_r = \dot{a}^2 - \frac{1}{3}\Lambda a^2 - \frac{\kappa}{3} c^2 \rho a^2 + k,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= r^5 a^2 b^5 \dot{a}\, L_t = \nabla^b u\ \left(L_{tb} - F_{tb}\right), \\ 0 &= \left(\frac{1}{2} - 2\frac{1}{kr^2}\right) r^5 a^3 b^4 \dot{b}\ \left(2L_r + L_t/3\right) = \nabla^b u\ \left(L_{rb} - F_{rb}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, using Lemma 1, we see that Friedmann's equations are equivalent to the conformally-related field equation 1.

In the view of particle physics, a key characteristic of the equivalent formulation of Einstein's field equation becomes clear when looking at the trace equation of (4). Adding the equations (11) and (12) and using (7) we get

$$-6u'\Delta u + Ru^2 - 4\Lambda u^4 = \kappa\left(\rho c^2 - 3p\right)u^4, \tag{15}$$

which is the Klein-Gordon equation for $u \neq 0$. The Λ-term represents the quartic interaction term of the related Lagrangian. Concerning the results for $'R$ and $''R$, we observe that the Klein-Gordon equation is mass-less.

3. Conformally-modified field equation

In this section we present the conformally-modified gravity equation. The central idea is to introduce an additional mass term in formulation (4), such that

- the trace equation is the Klein-Gordon equation with a non-zero mass term;
- spontaneous symmetry breaking occurs at Planck scale.

Namely, we propose the

Conformally-related field equation 2. *Find a metric*

$$g_\epsilon = -A(t,r)^2 u_\epsilon^{-2} dt^2 + 2B(t,r)u_\epsilon^{-2}dtdr + u_\epsilon^{-2}C(t,r)^2\left(dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega\right), \tag{16}$$

such that

$$L_{\mu\nu} = F_{\mu\nu}, \tag{17}$$

is satisfied for $u_\epsilon = C(t,r)r > 0$, *where*

$$L_{\mu\nu} = u_\epsilon^2 R_{\mu\nu} - 2u_\epsilon\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu u_\epsilon + 4\nabla_\mu u_\epsilon\nabla_\nu u_\epsilon - \left(u_\epsilon\Delta u_\epsilon + |\nabla u_\epsilon|^2 + \epsilon u_\epsilon^2 + \Lambda u_\epsilon^4\right)g_{\mu\nu}, \tag{18}$$

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \kappa u_\epsilon^2\left(\left(\rho c^2 + p\right)\delta^0_{\ \mu}\delta^0_{\ \nu} + \frac{\rho c^2 - p}{2}u_\epsilon^2 g_{\mu\nu}\right). \tag{19}$$

In order to match the experiments which verify Einstein's field equation, the parameters have to be sufficiently small

$$0 < |\epsilon| \ll 1, \quad 0 < \Lambda \ll 1. \tag{20}$$

The trace part of (17) reads as follows

$$-6u_\epsilon'\Delta u_\epsilon + \left(R_\epsilon - 4\epsilon\right)u_\epsilon^2 - 4\Lambda u_\epsilon^4 = \kappa\left(\rho c^2 - 3p\right)u_\epsilon^4. \tag{21}$$

Unfortunately, because of the new mass parameter $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}$, equation (17) cannot solved by the separated metric g. Even a modification of $b(r)$ such that the analogous equation to (13) and (14) will be solved by the method of separation of variables wouldn't be equivalent to (17).

Therefore, we propose a $(2,2)$-decomposable, perturbed metric g_ϵ and assume that $g_\epsilon \to g$ and $u_\epsilon \to u$ for $\epsilon \to 0$.

Moreover, the two dimensional traces read as follows

$$L^a_{\ a} - F^a_{\ a} = -4u'_\epsilon \Delta u_\epsilon + 2|'\nabla u_\epsilon|^2 + {}'R_\epsilon u_\epsilon^2 - 2\epsilon u_\epsilon^2 - 2\Lambda u_\epsilon^4 + 2\kappa u_\epsilon^4 p = 0, \tag{22}$$

$$L^i_{\ i} - F^i_{\ i} = -2u'_\epsilon \Delta u_\epsilon - 2|'\nabla u_\epsilon|^2 + {}''R_\epsilon u_\epsilon^2 - 2\epsilon u_\epsilon^2 - 2\Lambda u_\epsilon^4 - \kappa\left(\rho c^2 - p\right) u_\epsilon^4 = 0, \tag{23}$$

where ${}''R_\epsilon = 2$.

Returning to the Einstein framework, we get the equivalent formulation

$$\bar{R}_{\mu\nu} - \Lambda \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} - \epsilon C^{-2} r^{-2} \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} = \kappa \left(\bar{T}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{\bar{T}}{2} \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} \right). \tag{24}$$

As usual, the ϵ- and Λ-terms should be included in the energy-momentum tensor of the right-hand side. This succeeds with the substitution

$$\rho \to \rho + \rho^{(\epsilon\Lambda)}, \quad p \to p + p^{(\epsilon\Lambda)},$$

where

$$\rho^{(\epsilon\Lambda)} = \frac{\Lambda + \epsilon C^{-2} r^{-2}}{c^2 \kappa}, \quad p^{(\epsilon\Lambda)} = -\frac{\Lambda + \epsilon C^{-2} r^{-2}}{\kappa}.$$

Therefore, the ratio $p/c^2\rho = -1$ is independent of ϵ.

4. Spontaneous symmetry breaking

In the following we restrict our attention to the vacuum, where we necessarily assume that $\rho, p = 0$. Moreover, we assume that $R_\epsilon = \text{const}$. We start by considering the trace equation (21) divided by $u_\epsilon/6$

$$-' \Delta u_\epsilon - \frac{dV(u_\epsilon)}{du_\epsilon} = 0, \quad V(u_\epsilon) = \left(\frac{1}{3}\epsilon - \frac{R_\epsilon}{12} \right) u_\epsilon^2 + \frac{1}{6}\Lambda u_\epsilon^4, \tag{25}$$

and the related Lagrangian density

$$\mathcal{L} = \sqrt{-g} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla u_\epsilon|^2 - V(u_\epsilon) \right). \tag{26}$$

In agreement to the above discussions, we decompose the Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_\Delta + \mathcal{L}_M$$

and interpret the ϵ- and Λ-terms as a Lagrangian density of matter

$$\mathcal{L}_M = -\sqrt{-g}\, V(u_\epsilon).$$

In quantum physics the vacuum denotes the ground state (the state of the lowest possible energy) of the system. In order to realise the lowest possible energy of the Lagrangian density, we restrict ourself to $u_\epsilon \neq 0$ and claim

$$\frac{d}{du} V(u_\epsilon)\Big|_{u_\epsilon = v} = v \left(\left(\frac{2}{3}\epsilon - \frac{R_\epsilon}{6} \right) + \frac{2}{3}\Lambda v^2 \right) = 0,$$

$$\frac{d^2}{du^2} V(u_\epsilon)\Big|_{u_\epsilon = v} = \left(\frac{2}{3}\epsilon - \frac{R_\epsilon}{6} \right) + 2\Lambda v^2 > 0,$$

which implies

$$v^2 = \frac{R_\epsilon - 4\epsilon}{4\Lambda} \geq 0, \tag{27}$$

and $\Lambda > 0$. Combining the last relations, we get $R_\epsilon > 4\epsilon$. As a consequence, it follows that

$$\min_{u_\epsilon} V(u_\epsilon) = V(v) = -\frac{(R_\epsilon - 4\epsilon)^2}{96\Lambda} = -\frac{\Lambda}{6} v^4 < 0.$$

Notice that a negative $V(v)$ and a non-zero v follows only for $\epsilon \neq 0$ (cf. Section 2). Because u_ϵ has the unit of length, $v > 0$ is chosen (spontaneous symmetry breaking).

Now, we get from (22) and (23)

$$'R_\epsilon - 2\epsilon - 2\Lambda v^2 + 2\kappa p_{vac} v^2 = 0, \tag{28}$$

$$2 - 2\epsilon - 2\Lambda v^2 - \kappa \left(\rho_{vac} c^2 - p_{vac}\right) v^2 = 0, \tag{29}$$

and furthermore it follows by an application of (27) in the Λ-term that

$$p_{vac} = \frac{2 - {}'R_\epsilon}{4\kappa v^2}, \quad \rho_{vac} = \frac{3(2 - {}'R_\epsilon)}{4\kappa v^2 c^2}. \tag{30}$$

In order to determine ϵ and Λ, we need two conditions. First, to be within a meaningful theory, v should be resolved by the Planck length l_p. According to Shannon's sampling theorem we have $v > 2l_p$. Now we can take the lower limit with

$$v \approx 2l_p. \tag{31}$$

Therefore, it holds that

$$p_{vac} \approx \frac{2 - {}'R_\epsilon}{16\kappa l_p^2}, \quad \rho_{vac} \approx \frac{3(2 - {}'R_\epsilon)}{16\kappa l_p^2 c^2}. \tag{32}$$

For a comparison with the zero-point energy, we refer to [Car92] and [We89] and consider a cut-off wave-number of $k_{\max} = 1/l_p$

$$\rho_{zpe} = \frac{E}{Vc^2} = \frac{\hbar k_{\max}^4}{16\pi^2 c} = \frac{c^2}{16\pi^2 G l_p^2} = \frac{1}{2\pi\kappa l_p^2 c^2}.$$

From $(20), (27)$ and (31) we conclude

$$'R_\epsilon = -2 + 4\epsilon + 16 l_p^2 \Lambda \approx -2.$$

Hence, there is no cosmological constant problem:

$$\frac{\rho_{vac}}{\rho_{zpe}} \approx \frac{3\,(2 - {}'R_\epsilon)\,\pi}{8} \approx \frac{3\pi}{2}. \tag{33}$$

This result is not contradictory to the accelerating expansion of the universe because this fact can be used as the second condition for the determination of ϵ and Λ. This should be possible with a deeper analysis of the conformally-related field equation 2.

Finally, we can give an interpretation of ρ_Λ, which was considered by many authors as the vacuum density. In contrast to the above dealing with equation (28) and (29), we use (31) for

the first substitution of v. Using the usual setting $\rho_\Lambda = \Lambda/\kappa c^2$ and $\rho_\epsilon = (2-2\epsilon)/8\kappa c^2 l_p^2$, it follows

$$\rho_{vac} = 3(\rho_\epsilon - \rho_\Lambda).$$

The last equation can be interpreted in two ways. On the one hand, it is an automatically satisfied fine-tuning between ρ_{vac} and ρ_Λ, and on the other hand, it states that the vacuum is realised by the dark energy and a perturbation effect which gravitational impact is unknown until one cannot consider the second condition for ϵ and Λ.

5. Concluding remarks

In this paper, it has been shown that Einstein's field equation satisfies a mass-less Klein-Gordon equation where the cosmological constant represents the quartic interaction term. In order to complete the analogy to particle physics we have modified the field equation such that the Klein-Gordon equation with a spontaneous symmetry breaking at Planck scale is fulfilled. It was demonstrated that the scale discrepancy between the cosmological vacuum energy density and the quantum zero-point energy could be avoided without a contradiction to the measured accelerating expansion of the universe.

References

[Car92] S.M. Carroll and W.H. Press The Cosmological Constant. In: *Annu. Rev. Astron. Astrophys., vol 30*, pp 499-542, 1992.

[Car01] S.M. Carroll. The Cosmological Constant. In: *Living Rev. Relativity 4*, 2001.

[SS00] V. Sahni, A. Starobinsky. The Case for a Positive Cosmological Lambda-term. In: *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D9*, pp. 373–444, 2000.

[We89] S. Weinberg. The cosmological constant problem. In: *Rev. Mod. Phys., vol 61,1*, 1989.

[Wal84] R.M. Wald. *General Relativity.* The University of Chicago Press, 1984.

[HE06] M.P. Hobson, G.P. Efstathiou, and A.N. Lasenby. *General Relativity.* Cambridge University Press, 2006.

[FS83] B. Fiedler and R. Schimming. Singularity-free Static Centrally Symmetric Solutions of some Fourth Order Gravitational Field Equations. In: *Astron. Nachr., vol. 304*, pp. 221–229, 1983.